Table 7-1 **Corroboration of the Curve Fitting Process** 

Well Name	Literature Value Transmissivity		Verification Value Transmissivity		Difference
	(m²/d) <sup>a</sup>	(gpd/ft) <sup>b</sup>	(m²/d)	(gpd/ft)	(In Percent)
Army #1 Water Well	485	39,000	485	39,960	0
Test Well <sup>c</sup> 1 (TW-1)	43	3,500	61	4,890	40
Water Well 2 (drawdown)	16	1,300	16	1,267	0
Water Well 2 (recovery)	66	5,300	19	1,560	70
Test Well <sup>c</sup> 3 (TW-3)	47	3,800	47	3,770	0
Test Well <sup>c</sup> 4 (TW-4) (drawdown)	137	11,000	144	11,611	6
Test Well <sup>c</sup> 4 (TW-4) (recovery)	335	27,000	281	22,587	16
Test Well <sup>c</sup> 10 (TW-10) (drawdown)	248	20,000	315	25,334	27
Test Well <sup>c</sup> 10 (TW-10) (recovery)	658	53,000	603	48,500	8

Source: Winograd and Thordarson, 1975

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Meters squared per day <sup>b</sup>Gallons per day per foot <sup>c</sup>USGS hydrologic test hole (USGS HTH)